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Abstract
At present, Indonesia lacks fresh milk. Domestic production covers only 30% of national needs and about 70% milk industry material has to be imported, mainly from New Zealand, Australia, EU and USA. The following are active in the formal dairy supply chain: (1) Milk producers (2) The primary dairy/ village cooperatives (KUD) (3) The overall dairy cooperative (GKSI) (4) The milk processors/ dairy industry.

This paper's objective is to examine the relevance of a rules-based fresh milk price structure policy in East Java with a view to improving the development of small-scale dairy farming.

East Java dairy supply chain inefficiencies are reflected in a relatively large difference between farm gate milk prices and consumer prices. Factors like dependency on imported milk powder and strongly fluctuating world market prices, the lack of protection against world market fluctuations for local milk producers, the scale and structure of dairy farming and poor raw milk quality affect the development of the East Java dairy supply chain.

INTRODUCTION
Strategic interaction between public and private actors is an important determinant of fresh milk market performance. Trust and consultation tends to positively affect private activity while uncertainty of government behaviour impedes it. Encouragingly, a rules-based policy tends to promotes a much more stable market outcome thereby substantially reducing the risk of input/output prices instability. This underscores the importance of predictable and transparent rules for the government involvement in fresh milk markets.

Local milk production in East Java is mainly concentrated in higher altitude areas of the island. There is an interest of the government and the private sector (dairy industry/Nestle) to stimulate local milk production. Competing claims for land and climatic conditions in the lower altitude areas limit expansion of milk production. The trend to establish large scale dairy farms will contribute to the increase of milk production, but in future the bulk of the milk will be produced by smallholders. Increased milk production per cow by improved farm management practices, increased efficiency of the dairy supply chain (which should result in higher farm gate milk prices), and improved provision of services and inputs to farmers are key factors in increasing milk production on small scale farms.

Government policy aims to increase self-sufficiency in milk products from the current 30% to 50% by 2015. Small-scale dairy farming that leads to improved incomes and employment opportunities in rural areas meets important government policy objectives. Government policy directed at primary producers aims at improvement of production levels per cow (milk production per cow per day to increase from the present 8-10 kg to 15 kg per cow per day), improvement of raw milk quality and a minimum farm gate milk price at least 80% of the world market price.

The main actors in the dairy supply chain in Indonesia are dairy farmers (mainly smallholders), primary and secondary dairy cooperatives (main activities: milk collection and transport, service and input supply), milk processors, the government, and private service and input suppliers. Many of the managerial problems at the small scale farms are related to feeding. Increased availability of land for forage production (growing forage in forests and plantations), better utilization of available forage, better quality concentrate feeds and improvement of farmers’ knowledge will provide better conditions for milk production (Wouters 2009).

Domestic milk industry demand is about 1.3 million tons, whereas national fresh milk production is about 489 tons so real
demand needed is about 810 tons. Based on that situation, and to improve the development of small-scale dairy farming activities in East Java, it is necessary to examine the relevance of a rules-based fresh milk price structure policy there.

**METHOD AND DATA**
Information and data were collected from various secondary data sources and articles on rules-based freshmilk price structure. Methods of information and data analyzes were descriptive, analytical or assessment based on evidence from the implementation of rules-based fresh milk price structure and its evolution.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
Since LOI of IMF was signed in 1997, government attention to dairy farming activities has been very low. However, a government role is needed, especially in technical aspects, to increase productivity and diminish the consequences of dairy cattle diseases (mastitis, brucelosis, etc.).

Active in the formal dairy supply chain in Indonesia are: (1) Milk producers, (2) The primary dairy/ village cooperatives (KUD), (3) The overall dairy cooperative (GKSI), (4) The milk processors/ dairy industry.

**Milk Producers:**
Most dairy farmers practise zero-grazing (cut and carry or stall feeding) but lack of land to grow forage prevents farmers from expanding their dairy enterprise and discourages new farmers. Possible solutions are intensification of forage production (fertilization and cutting management) and expansion of forage production into public or private estates. In Lembang, the dairy cooperative KPBSU has made a contract with the Forest Department so that members of the cooperative can grow forage on about 1000 acres in between young trees in the production forests. Similar approaches are proposed in the Blue Print (Toharmat et al., 2007), including growing of forage or grazing in plantations.

Inadequate feeding is another major problem, related to lack of forage. Unbalanced feeding (often too much concentrate in relation to forage) and poor quality concentrate feeds lead to poor nutritional status and fertility problems of cows. Reproductive problems and low conception rates resulting in long calving intervals are often related to feeding (minerals, inadequate energy supply at beginning of lactation). Health problems like metabolic diseases and displaced abomasums are caused by poor feeding practices. A number of simple innovations like growing more forage, chopping of forage and better quality concentrates in combination with improving farmers' knowledge could improve feeding practices.

**Dairy Cooperatives:**
Dairy cooperatives owned by farmers assist dairy farmers by means of collection and sales of milk to the milk processing industry and by providing feeds, credit (for feed, cows etc) and services. This “cooperative model” was introduced nationwide after 1983 (Sulastri et al, 2002). Some cooperatives have engaged in small scale processing and marketing of dairy products. Dairy cooperatives are a good entry point for improvement of dairy farming practices, because of their direct relation with farmers. Management of many cooperatives however needs to be strengthened.

The overall dairy cooperative GKSI offers an indirect platform for farmers to negotiate with the dairy industry which is dominated by large players: Frisian Flag/Foremost, IndoMilk/Indolacto and UltraJaya (all with dairy plants at West Java) and Nestle (with a plant at East Java). Current government policy imposes limited levies on importation of dairy products. The poor raw milk quality is a major problem for the use of locally produced milk. Milk payment schemes according to quality (total solids and total plate count) stimulate cooperatives to improve milk quality, but as yet insufficiently at farm level. The dairy industry provides (technical) assistance to a number of cooperatives and their members to improve milk quality and milk production.

Efficient collection schemes, effective chilling equipment, and high standards of hygiene at the collection centers are needed to keep collection costs low and to improve milk quality.

There are about 90 primary dairy cooperatives in Indonesia which collect milk from their members. Many have cooling facilities at collection centers and/or
collection points, either on loan or pre-paid by the dairy industry, supplied from donor funds, or financed by the cooperative itself. Cooperatives without cooling facilities can take the milk to the cooling facilities of GKSI where milk is cooled and thereafter transported to the dairy plants. The role of GKSI in facilitating cooling and transport is declining. Many primary cooperatives deal directly with the dairy industry.

Many cooperatives provide services for farmers as well. Supply of concentrate feeds is the most important. A well-developed cooperative like KOPSAE in Jon, Malang Regency not only supplies concentrate feeds but also provides veterinary services and AI services which are paid collectively (through collective deduction from the milk price). KOPSAE employs extension staff, veterinarians and AI technicians. Members pay a fixed fee per liter of milk. This cooperative runs a shop, processes part of the milk and sells small quantities of pasteurized milk.

Most dairy cooperatives produce cheap concentrates (price setting is an important issue for farmers) at the expense of quality (too low protein levels while energy content is often too low). A cooperative can negotiate for and facilitate services which are out of reach for individual small scale farmers like acquiring land to grow forage.

The management of the cooperatives is crucial. Dairy cooperatives are a democratic institution and for organizational matters supported by the Ministry of Cooperatives and GKSI. Dairy cooperatives have a small board of directors (chairman, secretary and treasurer) and a supervisory board. Both boards are composed of member farmers. Many cooperatives have difficulty in electing farmers who are competent for board positions. Capacity building of management and members of cooperatives is an important issue.

A number of cooperatives also employ extension workers who still concentrate on individual farm visits and seem to be more reactive (for example reacting to a problem with milk quality) than pro-active. The impression is that group approaches in extension, with application of participatory methodologies (for example farmer field school approaches) could make extension more efficient and more farmer-directed. This requires more training of trainers. Within the dairy cooperative there are often registered farmers’ groups in a village or neighborhood engaging in certain common activities like dairy farming, that could be a possible vehicle for extension.

The Dairy Industry:
Five dairies dominate the dairy market with Frisian Flag Industries/Foremost being the main producer of milk products and second in the processing of locally produced milk. Nestlé dominates the market in East Java (1 dairy plant). In West Java, Frisian Flag/Foremost and Indomilk/Inodolacto have both 2 factories, Danone (previously Numico) 1 and UltraJaya 1 (Fabiosa, 2005).

The Indonesian Consumer Organization (Suksmaningsih, 2005) mentions that at national level an oligopolistic market situation exists resulting in too low farm gate milk prices. From 1982-1998, government regulations required the milk processing industry to produce milk products from locally produced milk and recombined milk (from imported milk powder) at fixed ratios. This regulation was abolished in 1998 after the financial crisis, as part of conditions imposed by the IMF readjustment program to lower consumer prices. Current government policy imposes limited government restrictions on imports of dairy products, although bureaucratic import procedures may take a long time. For finished products an import tariff of 5% is in place.
Table 1. Milk payment scheme (from July, 2007) according to composition and hygienic quality (adapted from Meylinah, 2008 based on information GKSI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milk product</th>
<th>Milk price (IDR)</th>
<th>Euro cents (rate 1-12-2008)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 TS 12%</td>
<td>3047</td>
<td>18.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC &lt; 250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 TS 12%</td>
<td>2947</td>
<td>18.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC 250,000 – 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 TS 12%</td>
<td>2847</td>
<td>17.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC &gt;500,000 – 1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Meylinah (2008)

Rules-based fresh milk price structure policy in East Java:

Suksmansingh (2005) mentioned that milk prices in supermarkets were at equal levels with dairy products in Australian supermarkets and that farmers received too little of the margin. A quick survey in the supermarket showed prices of UHT milk per liter on average about 12,000 IDR/litre (about 80 euro cents, December 2008) while prices (including the extra bonus payment of the dairy industry) paid to farmers (KPBSU, Lembang) are about 3200 IDR/liter (about 20 euro cents, December 2008). The ratio producer/consumer price based on the available information is 3.75. This ratio is about 2 in the Netherlands but comparable with Turkey where the ratio producer/consumer price was about 3.5 in 2006 (CBAT, 2007). Several factors contribute to the large difference between producer and consumer prices but high collection and transportation costs are important factors.

The fresh milk price structure model was applied when global fresh milk prices increased between 2007 and 2008. Through that model, fresh milk prices of dairy producers in East Java for example, were divided into several components - price base, competitive, loyalty, transport and feed incentives. In central and west Java, the fresh milk processing industry also introduced fresh milk price structure model. However, the components were simpler – a feed price component and a fuel component.

In East Java, PT Nestle Indonesia has fixed price-base fresh milk to about 2,700 IDR per kg; with competitive incentive about 700 IDR per kg but since December 2008 decreased 200 IDR to 500 IDR per kg. Others incentives are loyalty 300 IDR per kg, transport 150 IDR per kg and soya bean meal processing residue as a feed 200 IDR per kg.

Table 2. Freshmilk price criteria evolution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fat</th>
<th>SNF</th>
<th>TS</th>
<th>TPC</th>
<th>Antibiotic content</th>
<th>Price milk criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978-1983</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Based on volume (liters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984-1987</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Fat content standard 3% Penalty 5 IDR per Kg each 1% &lt; or &gt; standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988-1997</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Based on each gram fat and SNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-April 2004</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Based on each gram fat and SNF TS min 11% &amp; bonus or penalty 5 IDR per Kg per +/- 0.1% TPC 20 – 30 millions, with bonus &lt; 20 m. &amp; penalty &gt; 30 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mei 2004</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Based on each gram fat and SNF TS &lt; 11% rejected &amp; bonus &gt; 11% TPC 20 – 30 millions, with bonus &lt; 20 m. &amp; penalty &gt; 30 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2004</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Based on each gram fat and SNF TS &lt; 11% rejected &amp; penalty between 11% - 11.2% &amp; bonus &gt;11.3% TPC 10 – 15 millions, with bonus &lt; 10 m. &amp; penalty &gt; 15 m. Antibiotic penalty 200 IDR per Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2004</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Based on each gram fat and SNF TS &lt; 11% rejected &amp; penalty between 11% - 11.2% &amp; bonus &gt;11.3% TPC 10 – 15 millions, with bonus &lt; 10 m. &amp; penalty &gt; 15 m. Antibiotic penalty Rp 200,- per Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/2008</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Based on each gram fat and SNF TS &lt; 11% rejected &amp; penalty between 11% - 11.2% &amp; bonus &gt;11.3% TPC 10 – 15 millions, with bonus &lt; 10 m. &amp; penalty &gt; 15 m. Antibiotic penalty Rp 200,- per Kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: In West Java : bonus on feed & fuel energy In East Java : price based 2,700 IDR per Kg, competivity incentive 700 IDR per Kg, loyalty incentive 300 IDR per Kg, milk transport incencentive 150 IDR per Kg & soya bean residue/feed incentive 200 IDR per Kg.

Source: GKSI (2009).

An assessment based on evidence of the implementation of rules-based freshmilk price structure model in East Java and its evolution, seems to be related with conflict of interest between government, industry/private sectors, dairy cooperatives and dairy farmers. The government must improve the development of dairy farming activities, so incentive schemes that promote business activities for stakeholders must be taken into account. Industry/private sectors or freshmilk processing industry would have supply guarantee of fresh milk material, and tend to seek global fresh milk price fluctuation, when global fresh milk price fall below domestic fresh milk price, national milk industry tend increase milk
material importation, and when global fresh milk price rise up to domestic fresh milk price, national milk industry tend to increase absorption of domestic fresh milk production. Dairy cooperatives would to expand their business activities, they stand up between government, private sectors and dairy farmers. As a tool of government, internally dairy cooperative tend to have a conflict of interest between social and business objectives. Dairy farmers would to improve their incomes because their business in general is so small having only 2 – 3 dairy cattle, so improving their family incomes are the main objectives.

Based on that situation, government roles on fresh milk price structure rules is seem to be not so clear, means that industry/private sectors are the main actors that determine domestic freshmilk price structure rules, surely depend on their interests. Sometimes, that rules are not really business reasoning, for example when global fresh milk price fall below domestic fresh milk price means that industry used milk and milk product material importations, their not introduced domestic fresh milk price incentives. But if global fresh milk price rise up to domestic freshmilk price means that industry used more domestic fresh milk, their introduced subjectively price based, and competitive, loyalty, transport and dairy feed incentives. So, it is clear that domestic freshmilk price determine by industry/private sectors closely depend on global freshmilk price fluctuation.

CONCLUSION

East Java dairy supply chain inefficiencies are reflected in a relatively large difference between farm gate milk price and consumer prices of milk products. Factors like the dependency on imported milk powder and the strongly fluctuating world market prices, the lack of protection against world market fluctuations for the local milk producers, the scale and structure of dairy farming, and poor raw milk quality affect the development of East Java dairy supply chain. Alternative possible solutions to improve fresh milk price structure rules determination should focus on improvement of an integrated approach in which government, dairy industry, dairy cooperatives and dairy farmers take part is required. The government could support improvement of profitability by means of subsidies, tax policies, improved services, and facilitating the availability of dairy inputs.
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